Approved For Release 2003/05/05: CIA-RDP80B01676R000700200015-9

Mar of

1 3 JUL 1961

Honorable Joseph H. Earth House of Pepresentatives Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Barth:

STAT

STAT

STAT

	In s	espanse	50	Jour !	jo Ju	no i	rlugalr	y conce	rning	state		3 800C
ey 🗆			in	his 20	Jun	o M	rtter :	to you,	pleed		advi.	
Unt			bat	is bons	e inv	oţa	d in	in their	extens	A.	corre	ob range and
vi th	this	Agency	and	i with	the	air	Force	ainee	early	1350	on u	中華
in tu	er of	W-0'a.										

believes that the Central Intelligence	· Agency is
information from him which would prove that	this Agency
to his letter to you " solely responsible	, for
be Plying Basser Furore	Artec:
information is contained in the Beleatific Apr	LT BOLD.
te his letter to you solely responsible to Plying Sensor furore He believes in the Scientific Advers on Upo's, dated 17 January 1953, (Secret).	rur ther risory

*Letter from Major Lawrence J. Tacker, U.S. Air Porce Executive Officer, Public Information Division, Office of Information Services

CONTRACTOR TO CONTRACTOR

Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000700200015-9

STAT

micloading statements on this report in Captain Report's book, "The Report of Unidentified Flying Chjects", and explaining thy a portion of the report was classified. A copy of this letter is appended for your information.

In summary, _______ belief that this Agency is involved in the "Flying Sourcer furors" and is using this as a tool in paychological verfare is entirely unfounded. His indication that CIA is misguiding persons in leading them to believe in Flying Saucers is also entirely unfounded. This Agency in conjunction with the Air Force investigated, in 1953, the threat to national security of the then prevalent Flying Saucer remore. Since that time, CIA has not participated in any Flying Saucer ectivities and has referred all correspondence to the U.S. Air Force.

Very truly yours,

s/ Lawrence R. Houston

Lawrence E. Mouston Comeral Compact

Bacloeures:

1. Letter, 26 June 1961, from 2. Letter, 20 May 1958,

2. Lotter, 20 May 1950, from Major Tenker

3. It. 21 may 58

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Pad

2 - General Counsel

1 - Inspector General

1 - AD/81

1 - Epes Regetting

USI/ASD/

STAT

STAT

STAT

3.0 May 16.

STAT

This is to schooledge your letter of 27 April 1990 endressed to this office with copies to the Secretary of the Sir Force and the Central Intelligence Sency concerning the relements Summary and the complete Formal Report of the Scientific Series Famel on Unidentified Flying Chiects convened 14-18 January 1953.

The complete Fermal Report of the Panel has not been Tally declaratived for security reasons. The Formal Report is not evaluable to the general public for distribution or reproduction.

The Formal Report does not contain the following specific recommendations mentioned in Ceptain Ruppelt's book, The Report on Unidentified Flying Objects'.

- P. 193 The panel didn't recommend that the activities of Blace mook be out back, and they didn't recommend that it be dropped. They recommended that it be expended....the expension they recommended would require a considerable swe of money. The investigative force of Project Blue Book should be quedropled in size, they wrote,.....
- p. 234 And leatly, they said that the American public excute be told every detail of every phase of the UFO investigation—the details of the sightings, the official conclusions, and why the conclusions were made. This would serve a double purpose; it would dispel any of the systemy that security breeds and it would keep the hir Force on the oull—sloppy investigations and analysis would sever occur.

However, the simites of the various meetings which led to the formel deport of the Panel do include comments and suggestions of individual members of the panel. This is an unofficial classified supplement to the Formal Panel Report setting forth comments and suggestions of the Panel members, which they believed were unappropriate for inclusion in the Formal Report.

These minutes disclose the fact that members of the panel generally concluded that is view of the complete lack of methesticated evidence as educational training program designed to debunk the existence of interplanetary space ships was required. Captain Suppolt as an interviewer testifying before the panel uncritically proposed, for the purpose of analyzing and evaluating reported sightings, tesas which would expand the ADC effort. Captain Reposit was not a member of the Fanel.

These sinutes also disclose that because of the brevity of some significant and the immility of vitnesses to express thesesives clearly (submantics) that conclusive explanations could not be expected for every case reported. Furthermore, it was considered that normally it shall be a great waste of effort to try to solve sout of the significant values such actions would benefit a training and admentional progress. The Panel also endersed the long accepted method for new scientific browledge which is for the fighter to prove his point and the explainar. Suptain Report was probably recalling or refurring to his conversations with folly/deal sembers of the panel and to the unofficial supplement sheet he wrote his book. In any event I can assure you the formal farport of the Panel does not contain the recommendations as spelled out in our letter of 27 April 1975.

For your information the classified portion of the Formal Report and the unofficial supplement do not deal specifically with UFO's but on other natters of intelligence significance which affect the national security.

In our attempt to put the UPO subject in proper perspective, it appears that the Air Force cannot compete with the acience-fiction writers. We believe that we have the investigation of the UPO phenomena in responsible hands and that an adequate, thereugh, and honest program is being conducted. Regardless, a small but articulate segment of people are under the mistaken belief that the Air Force has not sought the assistance of outstanding authorities from without the Air Force to assist in our evaluation of UPO sightings, and that we are withholding vital UPO information from the public, thereby preventing proper evaluation. We have, on memorous occasions, publicised our conclusions relative to UFO and explained our evaluation processes. This group, nevertheless, continues to claim that UPO's are objects from outer space and datased Congressional bearings on the subject.

You can readily understand the continued interest of this small segment because the subject is so novel and fascinating that it supports over 45 organisations of one type or another. Most of these organisations publish news letters or magazines and they expect the Air Force to furnish them material for their publications. Seedless to say, we do not have the resources alloted to this project to fill the reservois individual requests which these organizations make for copies of our investigative reports and other related matter.

Purthermore, we would be remise in our duty to the American public if we, by our exsistance, encouraged these organizations in their sensational claims and contentions. Consequently, we do not give them individual attention but rather make periodic press releases through established news channels. In so doing, we show partiality to so one person or organization nor do we place cureelwss in the position of planting our steep of approval on, or giving preferential treatment to, any one of these.

This press release approach used by all branches of the Government is considered censorship by some of these organizations and, because we will not favor them with our individual attention, they contend that we are keeping vital information from the public. The Air Force was compelled to generally adopt the press release approach because in the past when we furnished factual information to certain writers of UFO books and articles upon their individual requests our section was interpreted as granting approval and clearance to the books and articles in which the information was used.

If we withhold certain information from the public on Wo's it is not done for the purpose of depriving the public of vital information necessary for proper evaluation nor is it done because there is scientific proof of the existence of space craft from other planets and we do not wish to alarm the American public. It is done in the amjority of instances to protect the people involved from the idle curiosity of the sensation seekers. In a few limited instances it is done to keep from compromising our investigative processes and, in a few instances, it is done for legitimate security reasons.

The Air Force has/tremendous task in defending this country against weapon systems which we know actually exist and are in the hands of our potential enemies. To divert more men and money away from this most serious mission into a greatly enlarged program for the investigation of UFO's -- objects about which we have been unable to discover one iota of tangible scientific evidence -- would seriously jeopardize the security of this country against a known proven threat; would be allowing the segmation seekers to dictate our defense policies, and would, in our opinion, lay ourselves open to the charge of gross imprudence.

The Air Force does not deny that unknown objects have been seen by responsible people. It is in the interpretation of these sightings that we are questioned. From our investigation covering the past ten or tweive year period, the Air Force contends that when the evidence of these sightings has been drafted through the scientific criteria it has led to the conclusion that the objects were not space craft and they did not considered to the security of this country. As an act of faith, the UFO's can be considered smaned or unmanned craft from outer space, but as a scientific fact there has been no authenticated scientific evidence presented to or discovered by the Air Force to support this conclusion.

This is all the information available on the subject at the present time.

If you have a future need for Air Force statistics on this subject, the latest Air Force press release will sivays be available upon request.

Sincerely,

LAWRENCE J. TACKER
Hajor, UBAY
Executive Officer
Public Information Division
Office of Information Services

STAT

CC: Hajor Ryrne, AFCIE, Ra 4A 940 Hajor Polani, SAFLL, Ra 5D 918

AID

GIA, General Counsel (Legislative)

COPY

21 May 1958

STAT

Reference our letter to you, dated 20 May 1958, please make the following word changes:

Paragraph 5, line 5: The word interviewer should be changed to read interviewed (witness).

Paragraph 14, line 5: The word drafted should be changed to read sifted.

Thank you. I am sorry I missed these when I signed the letter.

Sincerely.

LAWRENCE J. TACKER
Major, USAF
Executive Officer
Public Information Division
Office of Information Services

STAT

STAT

cc: Major Ryrne, AFCIN, Rm 4A 940 Major Poland, SAFLL, Rm 5D 918

CIA

General Counsel (Legislative)

The fair the Carpy of

	ed For Release 200 UNCLASSIFIED	INTELLIGENCE A	GENCY	
	CENTRAL	AL ROUTING	SLIP	l l
			INITIALS	DATE
NAME AND ADDRESS INITIALS				
	Exec Registry			
:				
:				
ı.				
 5				
 6				
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY		E REPLY MENDATION
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECUMI	
	COMMENT	FILE	SIGNAT	
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	INFORMATION SIGNATURE	
	FOLD	HERE TO RETURN	TO SENDER	

FORM NO. 237 Replaces Form 30-4 which may be used.